

CONCLUSION OF:

DOCUMENTATIONS

OF

UN

PLOTS

FOR

"TAKE-OVER"

OF

U. S.

—•—
BY MYRON C. FAGAN
—•—

Jevil-LK, LLC.
PART TWO

Jevil-LK;LLC.

Published by
CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

-----●-----
MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
-----●-----

Organized to Combat Communism.

-----●-----
OCT. - NOVEMBER, 1960 - News-Bulletin
-----●-----

CONTENTS:

- 1) YOU Can Save Our Country
- 2) How Warren Escaped IMPEACHMENT!
- 3) UN Lovers Are Angry
- 4) Let's "Smoke Out" The Real Traitors
- 5) Treason In Our State Department
- 6) Case Of The Hungarian Uprising
- 7) Case of Povl Bang-Jensen
- 8) Case Of The (Russian) Kidnapped Sailors

-----●-----
ORDER FROM:
CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. BOX 596
BORING, OR 97009

**Note:—This issue has been delayed until all the election returns are in —
the reason for it will become clear in the following pages. Ed.**

YOU CAN SAVE OUR COUNTRY!

Before we pick up the UN plot to "take-over" the U.S. where we left off in our preceding issue (News-Bulletin No. 77), I will briefly review two or three recent happenings that will clarify and confirm my statement that — "*YOU can save our Country*".

Through the years I have heard literally countless thousands of loyal Americans, once convinced of what is being done to our country, despairingly cry out:—"*But what can I, one person, do?*"

Well, actually, they had the answer way back in 1949, when the California Legislature was FORCED to rescind the traitorous "*Resolution*" of the infamous "*United World Federalists*." That story has been told many times, but to give point to my statement that "*YOU* (meaning the American people) *can save our Country*" I will repeat its salient features:

Early in 1947 a group of Reds and Fellow-Travelers assembled in Asheville, No. Carolina, and organized what they called "*The United World Federalists*." Their objective was to seduce the Legislatures of 32 States to approve and pass a "*Resolution*" memorializing Congress to transform the United States into a unit of a (UN) One-World Government. They decided to make California their bellwether state. They hired the notorious Art Samish, a liquor lobbyist, and hatchet man for the ADL in Sacramento, to master-mind the seduction. During the following two years the UWF poured millions of Dollars — and I do mean MILLIONS — into Sacramento, which Samish "*judiciously*" distributed to various members of the Legislature; some of it under the guise of "campaign contributions", but much of it in outright "Christmas" and "birthday" gifts — and mink coats for wives and influential secretaries. And in March 1949, with the exception of two Senators (*Tenney and Donnelly, who were absent at the time*), the entire Legislature, both the Senate and the Assembly, passed the "*Resolution*" *manimously*.

So secretly was that "*Resolution*" railroaded that not even the staffs of the various Legislators knew anything about it

and not one newspaper published the story — not one Radio commentator or newscaster mentioned it ! ! !

The UWF gang promptly went into action. Within two months, employing the methods that were so successful in California, they seduced 23 additional states into passing similar "Resolutions." *They needed only 8 more!* But that was when Senators Tenney and Donnelly became aware of what had happened in their absence. They promptly served notice on the California Senate Judiciary Committee, demanding a full Senate review of the "Resolution". Naturally, with virtually all of its members up to their ears in the conspiracy, the Committee rejected the demand. And that was when we (CEG) came into the picture. We launched a campaign to alert and arouse the people in California to FORCE the Legislature to haul in the UWF for an open-to-the-public hearing, *simultaneously with a review of the "Resolution."*

At once the UWF mounted a frenzied counter attack. They used all the Communist vilification techniques to discredit our campaign, branding it as anti-semitic, anti-negro, anti-PEACE, etc., etc., and they were supported by the ADL, the League of Women Voters, the NAACP, *such Radio commentators as Chet Huntley, etc., etc.* But day by day the aroused loyal Americans in California poured an ever-increasing torrent of angry letters and signed (CEG) "Bulletins", *with an "or else" ultimatum*, into the offices of their Senators and Assemblymen. Finally, in February of 1950 the Legislature capitulated. They set a date for a hearing — and at that hearing the UWF was completely unmasked — *and the "Resolution" was rescinded!* Within the following 60 days 21 of the other states followed suit. *The UWF plot was smashed!*

That is what I meant when I said:—"YOU can save our Country". The UWF plot was smashed by YOU, the *individual* Americans in California, many of whom might frequently have said:—"What can I, one person, do?"

Moreover, they fulfilled their "or else" ultimatum — quite a number of the California Legislatures who, at the insistence of their master, Samish, had continued to oppose the rescission of the "Resolution" were driven out of political life in the very next election!

Unfortunately, memories are very short. I venture to suggest that by now even some of those zealous American patriots who had participated in that fight to smash the UWF plot retain only hazy recollections of it. Therefore, I will now cite a case that is very hot right now, to-wit: The plot to repeal the Connally Amendment — *a plot the Enemy still hopes to put over!*

YOU — DID IT AGAIN.

That plot is so fresh in all our minds that there is no need to go into its details. Eisenhower launched the plot in April 1958. It was furthered by Vice President Nixon, U. S. Attorney General Rogers, Secretary of State Herter and others, in speeches throughout 1958 and 1959 — also by a host of lesser lights, such as Wayne Morse, Jake Javits, one Charles Rhyne, then President of the “*fraternal*” American Bar Association, etc. etc., *all* of deep “Liberal” hue. Then, on March 24, 1959, the radical Senator Hubert Humphrey introduced a “Resolution” for repeal of the Connally Amendment by the Senate.

Immediately after that “Resolution” was introduced, Senator Everett Dirksen, acting for Eisenhower, and Lyndon Johnson, began to “*buttonhole*” all the Senators to insure passage of the “Resolution.” They employed cajolery, coercion, and even threats of no “Federal patronage” for those Senators who would vote against the “Resolution.” A spot check of all the Senators several weeks before the “Resolution” was to have been submitted to a vote revealed that 73 of them had pledged themselves to vote *for* the repeal; 5 others admittedly leaned to it. A number of very influential individuals vigorously protested against the repeal — but the Press, Radio and TV gave very little mention to those protests. Instead, *they glowingly praised the repeal idea.*

However, on the day we received word that the Humphrey “Resolution” had actually been placed in the hands of Senator Fulbright, Chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee, we (CEG) set up *our* machinery for a fight to kill that “Resolution”. As usual we carried our “appeal” to *the people*. First, we devoted our “News-Bulletin” of that month to a complete unmasking of the entire plot. We followed that up with our “World Court Tract”, which we urged all loyal Americans to sign and RUSH to their Senators — and to reinforce the “Tracts” with personal “*or else*” ultimatum letters. Within 60 days there were hundreds of thousands of copies of that “Tract” circulating throughout the nation. And then those “Tracts”, *accompanied by angry letters*, began pouring into Washington. And as that barrage kept mounting and mounting all the Senators who had pledged themselves to vote for the repeal became more and more jittery — *because all those “Tracts” and angry letters were signed by their constituents*, by the men and women who had voted them into office — *and who had the power to vote them out of office*. One by one they began to withdraw their pledges. Soon it became a veritable stampede — and two days before the

“Resolution” was to have come up for the vote Senator Fulbright announced that he would not submit it . . . *until there will be a better climate for it.*”

Right then and there YOU had the perfect answer to . . . “*What can I, one person, do?*” — to save our country . . . YOU and all the other “*one persons*” in America! It was the perfect proof that YOUR letter is far more potent than the “*orders*” of the President and the pressures of all the other political Bosses in Washington . . . it proved, *as we proved it in Sacramento in 1949*, that your Senators and Representatives are in Washington to serve YOU, not the Internationalists and their stooges who are plotting to destroy our country.

Your letters had — *and have* — such a terrific impact that when the State Department, *at the behest of Eisenhower and the One-World plotters*, tried to sneak the “World Court” in through the back door via their “Protocol” and “Law of The Sea”, they were roundly defeated! Your letters have such a terrific impact that at the height of his campaigning Nixon let it become known that he had “*changed his views*” about the Connally Amendment — *not that I believe him!*

Now let me tell you why your letters and signed “Tracts” had such a terrific impact in the fight to save the “Connally Amendment”: *it was because you never let up* — because you kept pouring your letters and signed “Tracts” into Washington — and *thus* kept reminding and reminding and reminding all the men in Congress that YOU were watching them . . . and they knew that if they would not OBEY you it would mean the end of their political careers — **exactly as it meant the end of the political careers for those men in the California Legislature who fought to prevent the rescission of the UWF traitorous “Resolution”!**

—●—

To emphasize the vital need to keep pouring more and more letters and signed “Tracts” into Washington, *why we must never let down*, I will cite a case where we had a tremendous victory within our grasp — a victory which could have unmasked — *and smashed* — the entire Great Conspiracy.

—●—

THAT’S HOW WARREN ESCAPED IMPEACHMENT !!!

—●—

In our July-August 1957 “News-Bulletin” (No. 58), entitled “**WE MUST IMPEACH SUPREME COURT JUSTICES**”, we reviewed all the U. S. Supreme Court “Decisions” that rendered aid and comfort to the Communist Conspiracy — and provided protec-

tion for the Communist conspirators we also stressed how their "Decisions" carried out the directives of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy to destroy the unity of the American people.

That "News-Bulletin" contained all the prima facie evidence necessary for Congress to initiate impeachment proceedings against those "*nine vicious men*" — and for their trial on charges of treason and collaboration with the Enemy.

Simultaneously, we issued a six-page "Tract", entitled "WANTED (EARL WARREN) FOR IMPEACHMENT". In that "Tract" we specifically stressed the "DECISIONS" which carried out the Enemy's directives to create mortal strife between the negroes and the White American people — *especially in the South!* . . . with all charges fully DOCUMENTED !!!

Immediately after issuing the above mentioned "News-Bulletin" and "Tract" I went on to Washington and conferred with various of our *loyal* members in both Houses of Congress — and urged them to take the lead in initiating the steps for the impeachment proceedings. I pointed out to them that the "Tract" alone would fulfill Senator Jenner's oft-repeated cry that "*We must get the story to the people*" — and assured them that "*the people*" would respond.

All the members of Congress with whom I spoke emphatically agreed that all the Justices are collectively a terrible menace to our country — with double emphasis on Frankfurter and Douglas, *and triple emphasis on Earl Warren*. As one Senator expressed it: "*they must be defanged or they will destroy this nation.*" Nevertheless, most of them were hesitant and dubious about outright impeachment proceedings — simply because no man in such a high office had ever been impeached. Several of them suggested that it should be preceded by some form of *censure*, which, if the people approved it, could then be used as a springboard for the impeachment proceedings . . . *that was when Senator Jenner introduced his SENATE BILL 2646.*

That Bill, aimed bluntly at clipping the jurisdictional wings of the Supreme Court, would have been the springboard for the impeachment proceedings. It was defeated by the breath-taking margin of 41 to 40 — *short just one vote!* But the significant — *and tragic* — point about that defeat is that had the earlier furor against the Court heightened instead of diminished, *or even if it had just maintained the pace set for it in the earlier months, the Bill would have been passed overwhelmingly!* . . . the following will clarify what I mean:

We issued our "Tract" in late July of 1957. Within the following months more than a million copies were in circulation throughout the nation. Thousands upon thousands of them, properly signed,

poured into all the Congressional offices in Washington. In February of 1958 we were *reliably* informed that so great had been the impact of that avalanche of "Tracts", letters and telegrams that more than 60 Senators had voluntarily pledged themselves to vote for the Jenner Bill. And it was predicted that, except for such rabid Lefties as Jake Javits, Wayne Morse, Humphrey, etc., the Bill would be passed unanimously.

That prediction was based on the fact that the Jenner Bill was being given wide and seemingly favorable "coverage" by the press — and on the assumption that the support of the people (letters and "Tracts") would continue to pour in. But that press "coverage" misled the people: they (*the people*) assumed that the Bill was a shoo-in — and their volume of letters and "Tracts" began to decline. Then, all of a sudden, the press switched its attitude — it began to *attack* the Jenner Bill. Simultaneously, a barrage of letters protesting the Bill began to pour into Washington. The protest letters continually increased, caught up with, then passed the rapidly diminishing "Tracts". As the day for consideration of the Bill approached, the "Tracts" had dwindled to a trickle, while veritable torrents of protest letters were flooding every office in both Houses.

(NOTE:—Remember the boast of the Enemy that they can pour 75,000 letters and wires into Washington in any 24 hour period! Ed.)

The seeming shift in the public reaction startled all the Senators. It frightened many of them. On the day the Jenner Bill came to a vote 40 of the more courageous Senators stood staunch — 15 abstained — 41 voted against.

And I repeat: the tragic point of that entire incident was that if we had increased our pressure — *or just maintained it* — instead of letting down, a great victory would have been achieved.

There was just one reason for that sudden decline in the volume of our "Tracts" and letters: It is characteristic of the American people that when aroused to the need of doing a vital JOB they jump into it with a vigor that sweeps everything before them. Then, when the job is completed — *or they think it is* — they proceed to forget all about it and go back to their even ways of life. *Unfortunately, they do not always make SURE that the job is completed!* World War Two is a prime example of that failing: when the Germans surrendered, instead of staying in Europe long enough to make sure that the *whole* job was completed, we responded to a synthetic cry — *launched by our "Enemy Within"* — to bring our boys home . . . and we gave away our dearly-bought victory to the gangsters in the Kremlin!

Just so did we muffle a great opportunity to force the Proceedings to impeach Earl Warren and his associate Justices. At that time all of the American people were ablaze with rage against those treasonous "Decisions" and the invasion of Little Rock. Had we maintained our pressure the Jenner Bill would have been passed — Warren and his co-wreckers of our Constitution would surely have been impeached and tried for treason — and that could easily have unmasked all the interlocking plots of the Great Conspiracy.

By just that narrow a margin did we miss! And I pray to God that that shall never happen again! Once we launch a crusade we must never stop until we have achieved our objective . . . **the salvation of our nation depends on it!**

Come January we will have a new man in the White House — a young man — an unbridled opportunist, seething with a ruthless ambition for power, ready and eagerly willing to *promise anything to anybody* to attain that power — a young man whose (brief) political career reeks of chicaneries, of unholy alliances with the Reuthers, the Eleanor Roosevelts, the ADA, the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy.

Not that that same description, except for the alliances with Reuther, the ubiquitous Eleanor and the ADA, does not fit Nixon equally well—we know that Nixon endorsed the UWF-Atlantic Union plot to transform the United States into a unit of the Internationalist-Communist One World Government—and we know that he (*at Eisenhower's behest*) launched the plot to repeal the Connally Amendment.

East and West, North and South, the vast majority (*if not all*) of the American people were disgustingly voting for "*the lesser of two evils*". The word "evils" perfectly suits both men, but "lesser" fits neither. Both are unscrupulous opportunists; neither has even an infinitesimal sense of honor, of dignity, of integrity; *both* stood for repeal of the Connally Amendment — and for the enactment of the "*Law of the Sea*" and the "*Genocide Treaty*"; both are brazen tools of the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy; Nixon would have, just as Kennedy will (*if he can get away with it*) use all the power of the Presidency to further the plot to transform the U. S. into a unit of a UN One World Government. In 1776 the immortal George Washington ordered: "*Let none but Americans be on guard tonight!*" Today he would warn: **Let ALL Americans be on guard during the next four years ! ! !**

At this point I wish to reiterate what I have stated many times: the salvation of our nation lies in YOUR (the people) hands. The man in the White House can go along with the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy only if the men in Congress will go along with

him — and the men in Congress will *not* go along with him if we (the people) will let them know in *positive* language that if they do, it will mean the end of *their* political careers. They went along with Franklin Roosevelt in all of his betrayals, from his unlawful Recognition of the Stalin gang in 1933 to his delivery of the Balkans at Yalta — they went along with Eisenhower in all of that man's chicaneries, but all that was due to the tragic fact that the American people had been foolishly equating both those individuals with God. And that was accepted as a mandate to the men in Congress to shut their eyes to the treasonous doings of those God-men. But in the next four years there will be no God-man in the White House — and the people are beginning to awaken. That awakening can be, *MUST be*, the new mandate to the men in Congress — that awakening can be the salvation of our nation. We had the proof of it in the blunt rejection by all the Senators of Eisenhower's attempt to repeal the Connally Amendment. Therefore, the very first sign of treachery by the new man in the White House must be the signal for us to at once put in effect *the IMPEACHMENT machinery provided for us in our Constitution !!!*

And now let's go to the thesis originally set for this issue: the UN Plots for the Take-Over of the U. S.

UN LOVERS ARE ANGRY

In all the years that we have been unmasking the UN none of our "News-Bulletins" (seemingly) ruffled the tender sensitivities of the UN lovers as much as our last one (No. 77). During the past three weeks we have received more than 600 letters, many of them blistering denunciations of the "*hate-mongering*" writer of the Bulletin. And, strangely, the most vituperative letters were from Men of the Cloth. We have been unable to check the backgrounds of *all* the poison-pen ministers, but we found that 27 of them are affiliates of the National Council of Churches — and all but two of them are "noted" for their "zealous" exhortations for the admission of Red China to the UN and for the U. S. to grant full recognition to that blood-drenched Red regime. That tells us all we need to know about those "*Men of the Cloth*".

However, some of those letters came from obviously shocked and bewildered people who had accepted UNICEF and UNESCO, *especially UNICEF*, at their face values. Some of them had for years been supporting the UNICEF Halloween hoax. They can hardly believe that our State Department, their Pastors, and all the "good people" behind the UNICEF's "*charitable*" work, would deliberately dupe and use innocent children to further such an evil

deed (*if it is evil, they say*). And they demand, *some very wrathfully*, documentary evidence for those charges.

Well, we could provide *plenty* of documentary evidence; but I believe it would be more effective if it came from a source that *every* American regards with great respect: — the American Legion. So I suggest to all who honestly have doubts to write to Mr. Lawrence Timbers, head of the Anti-Subversive Committee, The American Legion — address him at THE WASHINGTON SUMMARY, 317 First West, Seattle 99, Wash. Send him 25 cents and ask him to send you two copies of "*Facts About UNICEF for 1959*".

That document will not only confirm everything we stated about UNICEF in our "*News-Bulletin No. 77*", but will provide a great deal of detailed information which our limited space will not permit.

●

LET'S "SMOKE OUT" THE REAL TRAITORS

●

In our preceding "News-Bulletin" (No. 77) we clearly unmasked five of the UN Special Agencies. We clearly established that each one was set up to a special job in a special field. There are scores, *many scores*, of such UN Agencies, all of them set up to achieve one objective: a UN One-World Government dictatorship of all the people on earth. But as long as the United States retains its sovereignty and freedoms that objective will not be achieved. Only a free U. S. stands between freedom and COMMUNIST One-World enslavement of all the as-yet free nations of the world. When and if, *dear God forbid*, the U. S. will fall into the trap, all the free nations will be forced to surrender to that enslavement, or — *with all Nuclear weapons in the sole possession of the One-World Government* — face total annihilation. The Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy are fully aware of it, hence the UN and all of its Agencies are concentrated on the one supreme job of brainwashing, deluding and seducing the American people, *with PEACE as the great bait*, into their trap.

Knowing all that, there is no need to waste space for unmaskings of all the other UN Agencies. It is far more important that we now establish, *beyond the shadow of a doubt*, the identities of the individuals and Agencies within our government who are behind all the UN Agencies and the UN plot as a whole.

And that, too, needs very little analyzing — it can be told in one sentence: Every Federal government agency in Washington (*with double emphasis on the State Department*) is frighteningly honey-

combed with collaborators, tools and stooges of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy.

We don't have to go into the matter of the White House — we covered that earlier. But let's take the Pentagon — *the military guardian of our nation*: After he had named hundreds of traitors in the State Department, Joe McCarthy, unquestionably the most courageous and devoted American patriot of at least the past half-century, turned his attentions to the infiltration of Reds, spies and traitors in our various Defense departments. When he began to probe the nerve centers it was none other than Eisenhower who gave the signal for his destruction. Likewise, some years before that, James Forrestal discovered the treason in the Pentagon — *and he was murdered to keep him silent!*

Now, there still are many well-meaning, but brainwashed, Americans who still frown upon "McCarthyism"; who scoff at the stories of how Forrestal met his death; who still disbelieve what the martyred McCarthy tried to prove to the nation — well, to those I suggest a reading of the book, "THE PENTAGON CASE". *This book is NOT fiction!* It was written by Col. Victor J. Fox, who, after a gallant career in World War Two and Korea, had been assigned to an "Intelligence" desk in the Pentagon. In that capacity, he quickly realized that "*there is something rotten in the State of (Denmark) the Pentagon.*" He began to probe and soon had ample proof of the treason being perpetrated in our entire Defense organization. He brought his findings to the attention of one of the Top Brass in the Pentagon — never realizing, until too late, that that Top Brass was one of the Great Conspiracy's chief functionaries in our Defense Department. He then tells how that "Top Brass" used all of his influence to "railroad" him as a "mental case" into the very hospital where Forrestal was reported a "suicide" — and where Fox would have ended up as a "suicide" **one of the Pentagon "Top Brass" in the plot to railroad Col. Fox into a "mental health" hospital — and then "suicide" — was none other than our present Secretary of Defense, Thomas S. Gates, Jr.!**

It would be very interesting to know how many other men in Washington were declared to be "mental cases"—and then "suicides" — **because they had discovered too much ! ! !**

Note:—"THE PENTAGON CASE" (\$1.00 per copy) can be obtained from Col. Victor J. Fox, Box 133, Pleasantville, N. Y.

TREASON IN OUR STATE DEPARTMENT

The remaining pages in this issue will be devoted to citations of State Department collaboration with the "United Nations" and

the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy, all of them documented with undeniable prima facie evidence. And all of it will be nailed down with a review of the techniques and methods employed by both the State Department and the Pentagon to whitewash and absolve the UN of all their plottings to destroy the sovereignty of the United States . . . Our first case will be Cuba and Infidel Castrovich, better known as Fidel Castro:

On August 27 - 30 of this year, at special hearings before the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee, it was established that during the Batista regime in Cuba the Cuban economy was stable and in excellent condition. But more important, it was established that the Batista regime was emphatically anti-Communist and pro-America. Still more important, it was established beyond question that our State Department deliberately destroyed the pro-American Batista government and replaced it with the Communist Castro regime.

The two chief witnesses at those hearings were Arthur Gardner, our Ambassador to Cuba from 1953 to 1957, and Earle E. T. Smith, who succeeded Mr. Gardner, and served as Ambassador to Cuba until 1959. They testified at different times, but corroborated each other in establishing the following facts:

No. 1: They carried out explicit orders from the State Department to "*pull the rug out from under Batista*" (their words) and to pave the way for Castro to "*take over*". They named Assistant Secretary of State Roy Rubottom and William A. Wieland, the "desk man" in charge of Cuba, as the men who issued the orders to them — but they also named many other top officials in the State Department as accessories in the plot; among them being Robert Murphy and Loy Henderson. In addition, both men testified that while the delivery of Cuba to Castro was primarily a State Department project, many other U. S. Government Agencies, *particularly the CIA*, collaborated with the State Department. Mr. Smith named John Topping, Chief of the Political Section of the CIA, as being particularly active in the operation — that the No. 2 CIA man had been encouraging the revolutionaries by assuring them that if they succeeded in driving Batista out of Cuba the U. S. State Department would immediately "recognize" the Castro gang as the legitimate government of Cuba . . . and the State Department faithfully fulfilled that promise — Castro was granted full "recognition even before he arrived in Havana !!!

Fact No. 2:—Both ex-ambassadors identified the "New York Times" as a full partner in the plot. Naturally, the chief function of that notoriously pinko sheet was that of "*conditioning*" the American people to accept the "Bad-Man Batista" and "Nice-boy

Fidel" fable. It was masterminded by one of their Editorial Writers, one Herbert Matthews, supposedly an expert on Latin-American affairs. This Matthews character is just about as pro-Communist as Eisenhower's "ghost-writing" pal, Joe Barnes. He (Matthews) wrote a series of articles in which Batista was depicted as a ruthless and brutal dictator of the Hitler type and Castro was rhapsodized as a great humanitarian — a warm hearted Robin Hood — *a Savior for the Cuban people!* The "Times" front-paged those stories with great fanfare — and then "syndicated" them to all the Left-Wing newspapers in the United States, in Canada, in all the Latin-American countries!

But their "co-operation" (Matthews and the "Times") did not stop with that. In his testimony, Mr. Smith, who previously had not had any experience as a "diplomat", described how the various State Department "experts" in Latin-American diplomacy "briefed" (brainwashed) him for his new job. But for his final "briefing" (brainwashing) he was sent to — *you guessed it!* — "Times" Editorial Writer Herbert Matthews!

Fact No. 3:—Now, if any readers of this report are inclined to give the State Department "*benefit of doubt*" on the theory that they may have been misinformed about "Robin Hood" Castrovitch, or if they will be inclined to believe *denials* by the State Department and/or the N. Y. Times, I wish to stress that in their *sworn* testimony both ex-Ambassadors stated that after they entered upon their duties in Havana they became aware of different views about the "Robin Hood" — that they began to do some researching on their own — and that they found ample proof that Castro had from early youth (*teenage, in fact*) been a terrorist and murderer; that he had with his own hand murdered several nuns and priests; that he had been a member and leader of various Communist and terrorist gangs in Mexico and various South American states; that he had written a "manifesto" (*somewhat like Hitler's "Mein Kampf"*) in which he clearly stated what he would do when and if he rose to power; among his "*promises*" were that he would confiscate all American (U. S.) owned businesses; that he would transform Cuba into a police state in the Moscow pattern, etc., etc. In short, he "*promised in advance, in that written "manifesto"*, everything that has since taken place in Cuba . . . and both ex-Ambassadors testified that time after time they relayed all that information to the State Department — including copies of the above mentioned Castro "manifesto". Nevertheless, they were instructed to continue to co-operate with Castro !!!

Fact No. 4:—Traditionally, Cuban armed forces, including police, have always been equipped with American-made arms. Naturally,

our government had never placed any restrictions on arms purchased by the Cuban government. But immediately after Castro landed in Cuba our State Department clamped an embargo on all shipments of all types of arms to the Batista government — *even ammunition for the guns already in their possession*. They advanced various excuses for the embargo. Shortly before Castro launched his “revolution”, Batista had bought 15 planes. The State Department stopped delivery of those planes — *even though Batista had already paid for them!* Their excuse? Castro had kidnapped 17 American sailors — the State Department was afraid that if it did not prevent delivery of those planes to Batista those sailors would be “executed” (murdered) by Castro. Instead of sending word to Cuba (a la Teddy Roosevelt) “*Those 17 sailors alive and unharmed or Castro dead*”, the State Department submitted to Castro’s blackmail . . . *But even after those sailors were released the State Department still prevented delivery of those planes!!!*

Now comes the topper: Throughout the entire period of the embargo, Castro’s supporters, headquartered in Florida, poured innumerable plane loads of guns and ammunition to the headquarters of the “revolution” in the Cuban hills. *Those planes were flown from Miami — OPENLY — every day!* Batista, practically disarmed, was unable to intercept them. He protested bitterly to our Ambassadors — the Ambassadors relayed those protests to our State Department — our State Department promptly replied that Batista must have been misinformed, as they could find no evidence of any gun-running to Castro. Then Castro came into power. We all remember the savage “executions” (murder) of hundreds, perhaps thousands, of so-called (*by Castro*) “Batista-followers” that followed. The more decent elements in Cuba, *especially the anti-Communists*, were outraged. They began to organize a counter-revolution. Like Castro, they arranged to have arms and ammunition flown to them from Florida . . . **but this time our State Department quickly found “evidence of gun running” — they stopped it immediately!** Naturally, without guns there can be no counter-revolution. Thus, Castro is safe — and he will continue to be safe as long as our State Department will continue to hold its protective “umbrella” over him.

Now, unquestionably, some of our readers will find all of the above utterly unbelievable, and I can understand their incredulity — it is incredible that such brazen treason in our most sensitive government agencies can go on year after year. But you can get the *documentary* proof by sending to the “Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate” for a copy of the hearings on “*Communist Threat to the United States Through The Caribbean*”, held on August 27-30, 1960. Your Senator can get it for you.

CASE OF THE HUNGARIAN UPRISING

Back in November of 1956 there were charges voiced by reliable sources that "Radio Free Europe" was directly responsible for the tragic Hungarian revolution. It was charged that "Radio Free Europe" had urged the Hungarian people to take up arms, and promised that outside troops would be sent to aid them. Official Washington, the State Department in particular, vehemently denied those charges. Now let's see why official Washington frantically tried to whitewash "Radio Free Europe":

To begin with, "Radio Free Europe" is an Eisenhower (tainted) baby. Back in 1948-49, a group of notorious pro-Communists and one-worlders (*actually a splinter group of the Internationalist-Communist conspirators*) organized what they called "Crusade For Freedom". It was launched with great fanfare by the Press and Radio — but the announcements mentioned only two names as the sponsors: Gen. Lucius Clay and "Military Genius" EISENHOWER.

Now, ostensibly, "Crusade For Freedom" was set up to spread the "truth" about Communism to all of the peoples behind the Iron Curtain — and to help them regain their freedoms. Hence their euphemistically named "Radio Free Europe". Also ostensibly, the organization was to be privately operated, and financed by contributions from the American people, from various Foundations, from big hearted Industrialists, Merchants and Bankers — *all contributions tax-exempt!* However, every Post Office in the United States was ordered to serve as a depository for "Crusade For Europe", and to render various other services— *all at taxpayers' expense!* In addition, all government agencies were instructed to render the organization all possible co-operation — *for free!* And, finally, it was learned from a very reliable source that *the State Department had secretly provided eleven million dollars to launch "Crusade For Freedom"!*

The operational set-up of "Radio Free Europe" is as follows: a special desk, or Mike, is assigned to serve each individual state to which it broadcasts. All such desks are serviced by natives from those states — *and it has been positively established that all such broadcasters are known Communists or pro-Communists! Their broadcasts are not censored!* Do we have to guess what kind of "truth" they broadcast to the enslaved peoples? Anyway, we do know that it was "Radio Free Europe" that needled the Hungarians into their tragic revolt.

At the time the above facts were revealed many bewildered supporters of RFE asked a very simple and — *on the surface* — very reasonable question, to-wit: "Knowing in advance that such a re-

volt would only result in a terrible slaughter why should RFE have encouraged it?"

The answer: during the late 1940s and early 1950s there was a great and swiftly growing unrest in all of the Soviet satellites. There were riots and semi-revolts in East Germany, in Poland, even in Bulgaria. Something had to be done to so frighten all of the enslaved peoples as to kill off all thoughts of "*fighting for freedom*". The one solution, Moscow decided, was to needle one of the enslaved states to stage a real revolt — which would be brutally smashed — and all participants who would survive would be "tried" and either executed or sent to Siberia. That would be a "*lesson*" for all time for all of the enslaved peoples.

But Moscow was in for a stunning shock — a double shock! . . . Shock No. 1) Moscow had not anticipated that the Hungarians would be as well prepared as they turned out to be; — No. 2) The Russian soldiers, *in the main*, refused to fire at the Hungarian people — indeed, many of them defected to the Freedom Fighters. That was why Moscow put on that withdrawal "act" — which seemingly presaged freedom for Hungary. Actually, of course, that "withdrawal" was merely a case of getting enough time to rush in a thousand Tanks and several divisions of Mongol troops.

However, that scheme was quickly pierced. Not a bit daunted, the Hungarians were ready and willing to fight the Mongols — but there was one terrific obstacle: their ammunition was almost entirely exhausted. Thereupon, Spain (Franco) offered to provide the Hungarians with the desperately needed new arms and ammunition. But that, too, posed a serious problem: Spain had no planes that could make the trip without refueling for the return flight. Adenauer solved *that* problem — he notified Franco that he could refuel his planes on German soil.

Now, gentle reader, fasten your seat belt for YOUR shock: **our Ambassador in Moscow, Chip Bohlen, promptly reported the whole deal to our State Department — our State Department promptly contacted Adenauer and applied stern pressures that forced him (Adenauer) to reluctantly withdraw his consent for the refueling of Franco's planes!**

Well, we know the rest of the story — how the desperate Hungarians fought the Mongols with bare hands — how the Mongols slaughtered them, men, women and children . . . we also know how throughout that slaughtering the "horrified" Eisenhower and John Foster Dulles tsk tsk'd "sympathetically" — **but kept all aid from reaching the hapless Hungarians!**

And we still hear constant demands in the Press and on Radio and TV for financial support for "Radio Free Europe" !!!

CASE OF POVL BANG-JENSEN

Needless to say, like “humanitarian” Eisenhower and our State Department, Dag Hammarskjold and his UN colleagues were “horrified” by what was going on in Budapest in those tragic days in 1956. Yes, one might even say they were quite distressed about the whole matter. Of course, the UN did nothing to prevent the butchery — nor did they take any steps to protect the Hungarian Freedom Fighters — nor, in fact, did they do anything to punish the butchers. Because, you see, the UN, like all good law-abiding PEACE officers can take no action until a crime has been actually committed — and then, of course, a good law-abiding officer must first find out who committed the crime before he could do any “arresting”. Thus it was necessary for the UN to “*find out*” who were the guilty ones before they could take any action. For while there was no doubt that the Russkies and the Mongols had slaughtered thousands of Hungarian men, women and babies, it was equally true that the Hungarian men and women, perhaps the babies too, had killed many Russian and Mongol soldiers. Now the question the UN had to ponder was: who started the whole bloody shindig? . . . did the hot headed Hungarians attack, or provoke, the Russians? . . . was it all a case of self defense? — or what? Could be, you know, that those Hungarian students (*not the babies, of course*) were somewhat like the youngsters in our TV shows of the early West who used to roam around seeking to gain fame by shoot-outs with gunslingers such as Johnny Ringo and Bat Masterson— the Marshals and Sheriffs of those days, *according to our TV shows*, always took such things into consideration before they would charge a killer with murder. By that token, the UN couldn’t just accuse Moscow willy nilly and then find that the Hungarians had been the aggressors all the time— now, could they?

Anyway, the UN decided to wait until the Freedom Fighters and their parents and baby sisters and brothers were all killed off, or held in durance vile until executed or shipped off to Siberian Slave Labor Camps before they would try to find out what all the shootin’ was about.

But to the dismay of the UN, the free peoples throughout the world began to demonstrate against the Soviet butchers — and with that came universal demands that they (the UN) do something about it — *after all, that was what the UN was set up to do*, wasn’t it? And finally the UN was forced to respond. Yes, indeed, they responded! They piously “deplored” the bloodshed. “*Naughty, naughty,*” said the UN — and soberly admonished Moscow and her Hungarian puppets that they must never do that again. But that

didn't satisfy the peoples of the free world — the outcries continued. And finally the UN decided, *albeit somewhat reluctantly*, to send a team of "investigators" into Hungary to find out who and what was to blame for the unfortunate incident — *oh, with Moscow's consent, of course!* But Moscow refused to consent — on the ground that the entire matter was a Russian "*domestic affair*". By what kind of a stretch of imagination a massacre in Hungary could be construed as a *Russian domestic affair* is, to put it mildly, obscure, but that's what the Kremlin banditti said — and they ordered the UN to shut up. The UN weakly accepted that contention — and, to all intents and purposes, did "*shut up*".

But, as criticism of its "surrender" began to mount, the UN desperately looked about for a way to "*save face*". And they came up with a brilliant idea — they would send a team of investigators into Austria to question the Hungarians who had escaped the slaughter. And, strangely enough (*or was it strange?*), Moscow raised no objections to that.

That job of "investigation" was handed over to Mr. Povl Bang-Jensen, then the senior political-affairs officer at the UN. Mr. Bang-Jensen accepted the assignment subject to a stipulation that the refugees he would interview should remain anonymous, lest their relatives still in Hungary should be murdered under Russian auspices. He then proceeded to Austria where he questioned 81 of the escaped Freedom Fighters.

Upon his return to the UN Mr. Bang-Jensen delivered a report, *unimpeachably documented*, which, according to reliable individuals who read parts of it, was such a horrifying arraignment of atrocities by the Russian-Mongol soldiery — *and Soviet top officials* — that release of the full text of the report (*not the deleted version later released*) would have forced instant expulsion from the UN of both Russia and Hungary. Indeed, the United States, Britain, France, all the nations of the free world, could not morally have maintained diplomatic, *or any other*, relationships with Moscow or any of the Soviet Satellites.

Weeks went by and the UN did not release the Bang-Jensen report. Inquiries by the press were turned away with the statement the report was "*being studied*".

Then, on July 3, 1958, it was announced that Bang-Jensen had been fired. Actually, the UN did not use the word "fired". Their statement was that "*he had been suspended from his job, pending investigation*".

The reason for that action (*of course, it was "fired"*) was that Bang-Jensen had ("*stubbornly*") refused to turn over to the UN the names of the Hungarian refugees who had testified before him in secret sessions — *and who had agreed to testify only after he*

had *GUARANTEED* strict secrecy — in accordance with the stipulation granted by Dag Hammarskjöld. However, by the time Bang-Jensen returned to New York Dag had “forgotten” all about that little old stipulation. Day after day he demanded that Bang-Jensen turn that list of names over to him — and day after day Bang-Jensen refused. Finally, to put an end to Dag’s badgering, Bang-Jensen burned the list and all other identifying documents on the roof of the UN Secretariat building in the presence of Dag and other UN officials. And as a reward for his determination not to expose those hapless refugees and their kin, Mr. Bang-Jensen was “escorted” by guards to the UN exit and ordered “*never (to) darken our doors again!*” . . . more appropriately it might be said he was thrown out on his ear.

Now, in their stories, the press stated that Bang-Jensen’s refusal to surrender that list to the UN was to prevent the delivery of the refugees’ names to Moscow. The UN officials, outraged, furiously refuted the “*innuendo*” that the UN would ever deliver such “top secret” information to *anybody!* They proudly declared that the UN bears an unblemished record as a custodian of secret reports. But there was a considerable element of “*me thinks thou doth protest too much*” in their entire attitude, because it was an open “Secret” that the charges against Bang-Jensen had been instigated by Moscow’s Arkady Sobelov. Furthermore, when confronted with irrefutable evidence that similar “top secret” information gathered by UN “investigators” from refugees in the United States *had* been delivered to Moscow — and that the kin of those refugees had been shot and/or shipped to Siberian Slave Labor Camps, the same UN officials blandly (“*brazenly*” is the proper word) explained that *under UN protocol* the files of the Secretariat are available to all delegates, including Sobelov inasmuch as the USSR is a member in good standing in the UN. In other words, insisted the UN officials, the names of those refugees were not *delivered* to Sobelov — it just *happened* that, *under UN protocol*, they were *available to him* . . .

In view of the above “explanation”, said one reporter, it was evident that Mr. Bang-Jensen’s reason for withholding his list of names was a correct one — that he was fully justified in feeling that the Hungarian refugees should not be exposed to the UN files — and he should have been commended, *not fired*, for his courage in standing firmly by his promises to the individuals who had testified.

Not so, retorted the UN officials — and they stressed that the strictly legal arguments were on the side of the UN, because, regardless of the “stipulation”, Mr. Bang-Jensen had not been authorized to *GUARANTEE to the Hungarian refugees* that their testimony would not be turned over to the UN files . . . UN “pro-

TOCOL does not permit such guarantees. Therefore, whether Mr. Bang-Jensen did or did not know of that "protocol" (he had been with the UN only 10 years), he had placed the UN in a very embarrassing position with a member in good standing. That, insisted Dag, was "treason" per se, and his "punishment" was actually too mild "to fit the crime".

The most amazing and startling feature of that entire mess was that the most violent pressures exerted on Bang-Jensen to reveal those names came from the American officials in the UN! . . . Later developments indicated that it was on orders from Washington!

Now, Bang-Jensen was a sincere and very conscientious man. In view of his experience with the Americans in the UN, and with the UN as a whole, he decided he had some very vital information for our government. He sent word to that effect to John Foster Dulles, and requested an interview. The reply he received — *after a considerable lapse of time* — was that "the Secretary" could not see him, but that if he (Bang-Jensen) would present himself at the State Department a stenographer would be assigned to take down his story.

Thereupon, on the advice of Congressman Usher L. Burdick, Bang-Jensen sent a similar request for an interview to Allen Dulles, head of CIA, in which he stressed the great importance of his information . . . little brother Allen's reply was identical to the one Bang-Jensen received from big brother John — "a stenographer would take down his story."

Now, here is the information Mr. Bang-Jensen wanted to convey to the Dulleses:— *as related to me by Congressman Burdick*:

Item No. 1) He had documented proof that the Soviet Union has absolute control of the 38th floor of the UN — the floor that houses the Secretariat; . . . Item No. 2) Bang-Jensen had positive evidence of Soviet penetration of U. S. Foreign intelligence; . . . Item No. 3) That certain UN "diplomats", particularly those of the Soviet Union, were using their UN "diplomatic immunity" to smuggle narcotics into the U. S. — plus espionage activities by those same "diplomats" that spell grave danger to the United States and the entire Free World !!!

That "Item No. 3" was confirmed quite recently by N. Y. Mirror columnist Lee Mortimer; I quote Mr. Mortimer:

"This is Strange (But not Fiction) — How come no one ever mentioned that Beirut is the focal point in the international trade in opium, morphine base and heroin, where junk from behind the Iron Curtain is transhipped by the Mafia to America and Western Europe, with a share of the Underworld's profits going back to the Reds? . . . Commies have been active there for years, right under the dainty nostrils of America's Central Intelligence Agency (Allen Dulles' CIA) which didn't even know that the United Nations kept a fleet of private planes that were frequently used to transport Red

couriers who are housed in a luxurious ocean front palace known as the UNESCO Building, though that weird boondoggle had no offices in it . . . Three years ago when I reported in ("Around The World Confidential") that: 'From this United Nations headquarters in Lebanon, Communist strings are pulled that result in unrest throughout the Middle East and agents provocateurs are secretly dispatched to incite riots, border clashes and assassinations', the United States Information Agency banned the book for export. Oh well!"

That was some of the information Mr. Bang-Jensen was so anxious to deliver to our State Department and the CIA — *as if the Dulles boys didn't know all about it . . .* unfortunately for Bang-Jensen, *he didn't know they knew it.*

Well, that's the end of the Bang-Jensen story . . . **he knew too much ! ! !** On November 26 of that year he was found dead of a gunshot wound in a New York City park. The New York police called it a "suicide" . . . *where have we heard that word before?* The fact that there was a gun in the dead man's hand was "conclusive evidence" in their opinion — *as if the murderer couldn't have planted that gun in his hand ! ! !* I have done that very thing, and much more cleverly, in several of my murder mystery plays — "RED RAINBOW", for one.

There was no investigation by the N. Y. State Police, by FBI, by any other policy agency. **FINIS! He knew too much!**

And this is the foul gang that the vast majority of the American people have been worshipping as the one and only, (divinely-inspired) instrument to preserve PEACE in the world. Of course, that "worship" is due entirely to the "brainwashings" by the Press, Radio, TV and all other mass communications media — and to the frenzied efforts of our traitors in Washington to keep the UN absolved of all its treacheries and betrayals. The Bang-Jensen story alone should dissipate all faith and confidence in the UN, but to further disenchant the bemused ones I will cite one more case that *indisputably* unmask the unholy alliance of the UN, Moscow and our traitors in Washington.

CASE OF THE (RUSSIAN) KIDNAPPED SAILORS

Early in 1955 Nationalist China intercepted the Russian tanker "TAUPSE" loaded with munitions for Red China. The members of the crew were given a choice between return to Russia and asylum in Formosa or in the U. S. Nine sailors eagerly accepted asylum in the U. S. . . . but a few months later five of those nine sailors, *whom the U. S. State Department had guaranteed protection*, were flown off to Finland from Idlewild Airport in New York enroute to

the U.S.S.R. — kidnapped in broad daylight by Russian Secret Police Agents dressed in UN uniforms !!!

Now, that kidnapping incident was not one of those sudden happenings out of the blue. It had all been planned months in advance . . . and it was done with the full knowledge and co-operation of the UN — and with the full knowledge and co-operation of our State Department, Immigration authorities and various other of our Government Agencies !!!

Five of those nine sailors had vital information about Moscow's collusion with Red China in the Korean War — and, in particular, about the methods that were employed to keep a steady stream of war materiel pouring in to the Red Chinese hordes on their (*safe from attack*) side of the Yalu River. Moscow (*and the UN — and our State Department and the Pentagon*) just had to get those five sailors out the U. S. before they did any "talking" that would get out to the American people. So, on orders from Moscow, the UN sponsored a gang of MVD (*Russian Secret Police*) thugs into the United States *under the guise of UN personnel!* Those MVD thugs began to hound the refugee sailors from the very first day of their arrival in New York — *and that was commonly known to our authorities, including the New York police department;* as the following evidences:

In December (1955), four months before the kidnapping, Leon Volkov, a "Newsweek" contributing editor on Soviet affairs, and himself a Russian refugee in the U.S., reported his conversations with eight of the sailors. They told him how Moscow agents, *posing as UN personnel*, had been hounding them day and night to return to Russia. The agents, they said, alternated threats with promises — and ordered them to report to Arkady Sobelov (*chief of Moscow's delegation to the UN*) at his UN headquarters, where they would be provided with transportation. The sailors told Volkov they were not frightened by the threats — they were confident that, *as faithfully guaranteed by the State Department*, the U. S. authorities would protect them . . . *but the U. S. authorities did not protect them!* Not only did the U. S. authorities do nothing to prevent the kidnapping, but two Agencies, the "Central Intelligence Agency" (CIA) and the "Immigration Bureau" actually put themselves out to make things easy for the kidnappers! They authorized the sailors' departure after a routine seven minute hearing at which no American other than an Inspector-Interpreter stationed at Idlewild was admitted.

A thorough investigation, after those sailors had been flown out of the U. S., revealed that all those MVD thugs had openly worked with and under the direction of Arkady Sobelov — *all protected*

by UN diplomatic immunity! During the four days preceding the kidnapping the refugees were lured by a combination of persuasions and threats to the headquarters of Moscow's UN delegation — *and held there incommunicado!* From there they were whisked off to the Immigration "hearing" in a cavalcade of eight Moscow-owned automobiles filled with MVD agents — *all of them dressed in UN guard uniforms, all of them protected from (N.Y.) police interference by UN diplomatic immunity!* After the "hearing", as the kidnapped sailors waited for their plane, they were surrounded by twenty MVD thugs (*still posing as UN personnel*) who kept them from speaking to their friends. Those friends and reporters were violently thrust out of the building by the pseudo UN thugs and warned that if they came back they would be shot down . . . *and American officials stood by and did nothing about it ! ! !*

What is more horrifying is that when they were pinned down, Allen Dulles, John Foster Dulles, and various officials of the Immigration Bureau and other government agencies, *admitted* that they had known all about the entire matter *from its inception*, but had not interfered with Sobelov and the UN for "diplomatic reasons" . . . *what could those "diplomatic reasons" have been?*

Now, nothing of the above is "hearsay" — it is all officially recorded!

At this point, ~~for~~ the benefit of those who previously had been "brainwashed" by "form" letters issued by the State Department and the Pentagon in "defense" of the "United Nations", I wish to add a few cogent reminders: 1) . . . When we (CEG) first revealed that Alger Hiss and Molotov had entered into a secret agreement whereby the chief of the Military secretariat of the UN was *permanently* to be a Moscow Communist, the State Department issued a vehement denial — and, *knowing that it was an oral "deal"*, challenged us to produce DOCUMENTARY evidence of such an agreement . . . 2) When we revealed that (Red Army) Lt. Gen. Vasiliev, a *several years* Chairman of the Military Staff Committee of the UN, while "on leave of absence" from his post with the UN, was the actual Commander-in-Chief of the No. Korean and Red China armies in Korea, both the State Department and the Pentagon heatedly denounced that charge as a falsehood — *until Generals MacArthur, Stratemeyer, Ridgway, Mark Clark and Van Fleet confirmed it!* . . . 3) When, in reply to their "form letter" denials, we issued our "UN TRACT No. 1", in which we quoted excerpts from their own "TOP SECRET" Bulletins which confirmed our charges, both Departments promptly denied that those "Bulletins" had ever been "Top Secret" — *until Congressman Usher L. Burdick confronted them with concrete evidence that they had (hastily) re-*

moved those "Bulletins" from the "Top Secret" category only after we (CEG) had revealed them in our "News-Bulletin" and "Tract"; after that they avoided the "Top Secret" controversy and concentrated on our claim of *the secret agreement between Hiss and Molotov* — on the theory that if they could fasten a "lie" on one charge it would discredit the entire "Tract", so, inasmuch as that agreement was an oral one and (*they thought*) could not be proved, they jeeringly kept challenging us to provide "documentary evidence" 4) Well, then came the "miracle" — we found concrete DOCUMENTARY evidence in "IN THE CAUSE OF PEACE", a book written by Trygve Lie — we published it in our "UN Tract No. 2"; letters from all over the nation began pouring into Washington; first the dismayed State Department and Pentagon officials endeavored to get Lie to retract his statement; Lie refused; thereupon those officials issued a "form" reply charging CEG with having printed Lie's statement "out of context" and thus distorted its "real meaning".

In view of just the few citations in our limited space, can anyone hereafter place credence in any statement issued by the State Department? Or by any of the traitor-infiltrated Government Agencies?

History records that while the Christians were holding the city of Constantinople in the 15th Century behind its massive (impregnable) hundred foot wall, an enemy soldier discovered a back door in the wall, open and unguarded. While the Christians fought from the parapets, the Moslems slipped through the unguarded door and the city was taken.

The United States is as impregnable from outside attack as was Constantinople, but it may also be as vulnerable from within. While we (the people) have been providing many billions of dollars for defenses to protect our nation from freedom-destroying invaders, we have been leaving TWO back doors open: the UN — and traitor-infested Washington.

Fellow-Americans, we are in a life or death struggle for the salvation of our beloved country. We must lock and guard those TWO BACK DOORS! The safety of our country *demands* that we "get the U. S. out of the UN and hurl the UN out of the U. S." it *demands* that we FORCE the men we have elected to serve US in Congress to drive every traitor out of Washington, whether it be a President, a Vice President, a Secretary of State, a U. S. Supreme Court Justice—they have that (*impeachment*) power provided for them in our Constitution.

Fellow-Americans, we still can save our Country — but we must begin doing it TODAY — TOMORROW may be too late !!!

ORDER FROM:

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. BOX 596

BORING, OR 97009

Jevit & LLC.